# Turbulence and CFD models: Theory and applications

- 1. Vorticity based models
- 2. Third-order and higher order moment closure methods
- 3. Non-linear eddy viscosity models

- 1. Vorticity based models
- Third-order and higher order moment closure methods
- 3. Non-linear eddy viscosity models

#### **Vorticity based models**

- So far, we have used the Boussinesq hypothesis to model the Reynolds stress tensor.
- However, we must be aware that different approaches do exist.
- For example, an entirely different approach toward handling RANS was originally considered by Taylor [1] and subsequent authors [2,3,4].
- To avoid the appearance of the Reynolds stress tensor, they proposed the use of the following identity,

$$\overline{u_j \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}} = \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_i} - \epsilon_{ijk} \overline{u_j \omega_k}$$

 Using this identity, we can write the momentum equation of the RANS equations in the vorticity transport form,

$$\frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial t} + \bar{u}_i \frac{\partial \bar{u}_i}{\partial x_i} = -\frac{\partial (\bar{p}/\rho + k)}{\partial x_i} + \nu \nabla^2 \bar{u}_i + \epsilon_{ijk} \overline{u_j \omega_k}$$

- In this approach, a model must be sought for the vorticity flux term  $\overline{u_j\omega_k}$  .
- Closures schemes based on this approach remain largely undeveloped.

#### References:

- [1] G. Taylor. The transport of vorticity ad heat through fluids in turbulent motion. Proc. Roy. Soc., 135A, 1932.
- [2] J. Hinze. Turbulence. McGraw-Hill. 1975.
- [3] B. Perot, P. Moin. A new approach to turbulence modeling. Center for Turbulence Research. Proc. Summer Program. 1996.
- [4] S. Goldstein. A Note on the Vorticity-Transport Theory of Turbulent Motion. Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 31(3). 1935. 4

- 1. Vorticity based models
- 2. Third-order and higher order moment closure methods
- 3. Non-linear eddy viscosity models

#### Third-order and higher order moment closure methods.

We have seen that in order to derive the Reynolds stress transport equations, we need to multiply the Navier-Stokes operator  $\mathcal{N}(u_i)$ , by the velocity fluctuations, as follows,

$$\mathcal{N}(u_i) = \rho \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \rho u_k \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k} + \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} - \mu \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_k x_k} = 0$$

$$\overline{u_i'\mathcal{N}(u_j) + u_j'\mathcal{N}(u_i)} = 0$$

- Basically, we are multiplying the exact momentum equations by the velocity fluctuations in order to obtain governing equations for  $\tau_{ij} = -\overline{u_i'u_j'}$ .
- In doing so, we are increasing the order of closure of the equations, from first-order moment closure to second-order moment closure (in analogy to statistical moments).
- In theory, we can continue increasing the order of the moment closure up to infinite.
- So, we can derive third-order moment closure equations and so on.

#### Third-order and higher order moment closure methods.

- However, as we keep increasing the moment, higher order correlations will keep appearing in the equations.
- For example, in the exact Reynolds stress transport equations, which are second-order moment closure equations, a triple correlation appears, namely,

$$\overline{u_i'u_j'u_k'}$$

- We could derive a set of governing equations for this triple correlation, but the resulting equations will contain quadruple correlations.
- Therefore, it is easier to model this term.
- In the third-order moment closure equations, the quadruple correlation is expressed as follows,

$$\overline{u_i'u_j'u_k'u_l'}$$

It is worth noting that third-order moment closure models do exist, but they are not widely diffused, and they do not guarantee better results.

#### Third-order and higher order moment closure methods.

For example, the equations for the third order moments, read as,

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \overline{u_{i}}\overline{u_{j}}\overline{u_{l}}}{\partial t} + \overline{U}_{k} \frac{\partial \overline{u_{i}}\overline{u_{j}}\overline{u_{l}}}{\partial x_{k}} &= \overline{u_{i}u_{j}} \frac{\partial \overline{u_{l}}\overline{u_{k}}}{\partial x_{k}} + \overline{u_{j}u_{l}} \frac{\partial \overline{u_{i}}\overline{u_{k}}}{\partial x_{k}} + \overline{u_{l}u_{i}} \frac{\partial \overline{u_{j}}\overline{u_{k}}}{\partial x_{k}} \\ &- \overline{u_{j}u_{l}u_{k}} \frac{\partial \overline{U}_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} - \overline{u_{i}u_{j}u_{k}} \frac{\partial \overline{U}_{l}}{\partial x_{k}} - \overline{u_{l}u_{i}u_{k}} \frac{\partial \overline{U}_{j}}{\partial x_{k}} \\ &\underbrace{-\frac{\partial \overline{u_{i}u_{j}u_{l}u_{k}}}{\partial x_{k}}}_{T_{ijl}} \underbrace{-\frac{1}{\varrho} \left( \overline{u_{l}u_{j}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_{i}} + \overline{u_{i}u_{j}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_{l}} + \overline{u_{l}u_{i}} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_{j}} \right)}_{T_{ijl}} \\ \underbrace{-2\nu \left( \overline{u_{i}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{k}} + \overline{u_{j}} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial u_{l}}{\partial x_{k}} + \overline{u_{l}} \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\partial u_{j}}{\partial x_{k}} \right)}_{\epsilon_{ijl}} + \nu \frac{\partial^{2} \overline{u_{i}u_{j}u_{l}}}{\partial x_{k}\partial x_{k}}. \end{split}$$

• For the interested reader, works related to third-order moment closure turbulence models can be found in references [1,2,3,4].

#### References:

<sup>[1]</sup> R. Amano, J. Chai. Closure models of turbulent third-order momentum and temperature fluctuations. NASA-CR-180421. 1987

<sup>[2]</sup> R. Amano, P. Goel. A study of Reynolds-Stress closure model. NASA-CR-174342. 1985.

<sup>[3]</sup> R. Amano, P. Goel. Improvement of the second- and third-moment modeling of turbulence: A study of Reynolds-stress closure model. NASA-CR-176478. 1986.

- 1. Vorticity based models
- 2. Third-order and higher order moment closure methods
- 3. Non-linear eddy viscosity models

One approach to achieving a more appropriate description of the Reynolds-stress tensor without introducing any additional transport equations (as in the RSM models) is to add extra high order terms to the Boussinesq approximation.

$$\rho \overline{u_i' u_j'} = \frac{2}{3} \rho k \delta_{ij} - 2\mu_t S_{ij} + f(S_{ij}, \Omega_{ij})$$

- Where  $f(S_{ij}, \Omega_{ij})$  is a nonlinear function dependent on the mean strain rate  $S_{ij}$  tensor and spin tensor (rotation)  $\Omega_{ij}$ .
- · Recall that the mean strain rate tensor and spin tensor are defined as follows,

$$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \qquad \Omega_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

- These models are known as nonlinear eddy viscosity models (NLEVM).
- This idea was originally proposed by Lumley [1,2], and many NLEVM has been proposed since then.

- The NLEVM approach can be seen as a remedy to the deficiencies of the EVM.
- Where the main deficiencies of the EVM are:
  - Inability to proper describe the anisotropic behavior in shear layers. In the EVM the normal stresses are isotropic,  $\overline{u'^2} = \overline{v'^2} = \overline{w'^2} = (2/3)k$ .
  - Flow in ducts with secondary motions.
  - Overpredicting production of turbulent kinetic energy in stagnation points.
  - Failure to reproduce the asymmetric behavior of the velocity profiles in the presence of streamlined geometries (strong curvature).
  - Underpredicting turbulent viscosity in the presence of system rotation (strong vortices).
- In comparison to the EVM, the NLEVM models are more computational expensive (as they need to solve more terms and are wall resolving).
- They are also harder to convergence.
- However, they do offer improved prediction capabilities for certain complex turbulent flows.
- Despite the many apparent advantages of NLEVM, they are not widely used.
- EVM still are the workhorse of turbulence modeling.

- The NLEVM are usually quadratic or cubic.
- Let us briefly discussed the NLEVM by Shih et al [1], which is cubic.
- In this model, the Reynolds stresses are computed as follows,

$$-\rho \overline{u_i' u_j'} = -\frac{2}{3} \rho k \delta_{ij} + \mu_t 2S_{ij}^* + A_3 \frac{\rho k^3}{\epsilon^2} \left[ \bar{S}_{ik} \bar{\Omega}_{kj} - \bar{\Omega}_{ik} \bar{S}_{kj} \right]$$

$$-2A_5\frac{\rho k^4}{\epsilon^3} \left[ \bar{\Omega}_{ik}\bar{S}_{kj}^2 - \bar{S}_{ik}^2\bar{\Omega}_{kj} + \bar{\Omega}_{ik}\bar{S}_{km}\bar{\Omega}_{mj} - \frac{1}{3}\bar{\Omega}_{kl}\bar{S}_{lm}\bar{\Omega}_{mk}\delta_{ij} + I_sS_{ij}^* \right]$$

• Where  $I_s$ ,  $S_{ij}^st$ , and  $S_{ij}^2$  are given by the following relationships,

$$I_{s} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \bar{S}_{kk} \bar{S}_{mm} - \bar{S}_{kk}^{2} \right] \qquad S_{ij}^{*} = \bar{S}_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \bar{S}_{kk} \delta_{ij} \qquad S_{ij}^{2} = S_{ik} S_{kj}$$

- The NLEVM model by Shih et al [1], is particularly suited for swirling flows.
- It was developed to deal with aircraft engine combustors that generally involve turbulent swirling flows in order to enhance fuel-air mixing and flame stabilization.
- The model includes third order terms, so it offers extra accuracy.
- The method also satisfy the constraints of rapid distortion theory (RDT) and realizability.
- All the coefficients appearing in the nonlinear constitutive equation are calibrated using DNS and experimental data.
- The coefficient  $C_{\mu}$  is not constant, it depends on the strain rate tensor.
- The value of the turbulent kinetic energy k and the dissipation rate  $\epsilon$  are obtained from low-RE  $k-\epsilon$  turbulence models (wall resolving).
- Also, the value of the turbulent eddy viscosity is computed using the relations from low-RE  $k-\epsilon$  turbulence models.

- The mathematical framework to derive NLEVM is quite complex.
- Another way to derive non-linear models is by using algebraic stress models (ASM) or Explicit algebraic Reynolds stress model (EARSM).
- As for NLEVM, the mathematical formalism behind ASM and EARSM models is quite complex and will not address it here.
- In the cubic formulations of NLEVM, the quadratic terms allow for anisotropic effects to be modelled and the cubic terms allow modeling of the consequences of streamline curvature.
- These models also involve variable  $C_\mu$  coefficient formulations based on **S** and  $\Omega$ , which helps avoid excessive turbulence prediction at stagnation points.
- In these models, the realizability conditions are always enforced.
- These models are wall resolving.